

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 10-14 and 16-21 are active in the case. Reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Applicants' representative wishes to thank Examiner Manoharan for the recent helpful telephonic discussion concerning the issues in this case.

The Examiner queries in the Advisory Action where support in the present specification is specified for the embodiment of the invention of the double-T supports of Claims 10/12. Page 6 of the specification provides such support in lines 11-20. The text refers to Figures 1 and 2 of the application in which Figure 1 shows the configuration of double-T supports and Figure 2 shows the provision of a shadow region of the support with a cover. Further, the bottom of page 6 also provides a discussion of the U-shaped embodiment in Figure 3 and the provision of a cover in Figure 4. Accordingly, the present specification provides clear support for the embodiments of present Claims 10/12,13 and 11/16,17. Withdrawal of the objection that has been raised is respectfully requested.

The errors noted in Claims 13 and 17 have been corrected in the supplemental amendment that was filed June 22, 2006. Entry if the amendment is respectfully requested.

It is believed that the application is in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,  
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.  
Norman F. Oblon

Customer Number

**22850**

Tel. (703) 413-3000  
Fax. (703) 413-2220



Frederick D. Vastine  
Registration No. 27,013